

March 22, 2008

Ten Propositions on the Religious Attempt to Change the Law

Dr. Robert A. Morey

1. “Civil law” is nothing more or less than somebody’s theology written into law. The laws of the state either reflect the views of the people or the views of the state. This is the great issue that faces America today.
2. Reformation theology teaches that the government should be “of the people and by the people.” Thus the laws of the state should conform to and reflect the will of the people. Government officials and judges should follow the wishes of the people. This means that the legal system should move “up” from the people to the state. For example, if the majority of the people believe that something is wrong, (e.g. abortion, same sex marriage, etc.), then the laws of the state should make it illegal. In the past, they were ruled as illegal.
3. Most Christians still function on the basis of the Reformation view that the people make the laws. Thus they spend their time and money on petitions, state referendums, and new constitutional amendments. They assume that if they can convince the majority of citizens to believe in something and then have them vote these beliefs into law, then the politicians and judges should automatically conform to the will of the people.
4. It is clear that all the attempts to return to constitutional law have not worked. Petitions are ignored, referendums are declared illegal by Federal District Courts, and laws voted in by the citizens are overturned by State courts and the Supreme Court. Why are the politicians and judges ignoring the will of the people?
5. Socialism teaches that the government is of and by state officials (politicians and judges). Thus the laws of the state should conform to and reflect the will of these officials. The people should submit to the wishes of the officials of the state. Thus the legal system moves “down” from the officials to the people. If the majority of the officials believe that something is not wrong (e.g. abortion, same sex marriages, etc), then the laws of the state should be changed to make them legal.
6. The legal situation changed with Olive Wendell Holmes who had a socialist view of the courts. The Supreme Court became an “oligarchy” that illegally seized the power to impose their beliefs on the states and the people. Thus the judges were now free to exercise “arbitrary jurisprudence” in which they could ignore the Constitution, the Bill of Rights, legal precedent, state laws, state courts, state constitutions, and the will of the people. The judges could now made laws as they saw fit.

7. The constitutional “balance of power” between the judiciary, legislative, and executive branches of the government has now been broken by socialistic judges who exercise raw judicial power to run roughshod over the other branches of government.

8. Congress has the constitutional right and power to stop the Supreme Courts by impeaching tyrannical judges but has not done so because they either secretly agree with the beliefs of the Oligarchy or they simply do not have the moral backbone to stand up to the Supreme Court.

9. The new Supreme Oligarchy have attempted to force people into accepting their arbitrary laws:

(1.) by ruling any state referendums, laws, and constitutions to the contrary void and of no effect;

(2.) by mandating that the public school system indoctrinate the youth of the nation in the beliefs of the Oligarchy.

(3.) by suppressing any contrary views (e.g. creationism, right to life, same sex marriage, etc.) by denying them any freedom of expression in educational institutions or political organizations.

(4.) by using the media to ridicule any view that is not in conformity to the belief system of the Oligarchy.

10. The new conservative strategy is to change the “top” layer of state officials and then to impose their will on the people. The way to do this is by stacking the Supreme Court, the Federal Courts, and state courts with conservative judges. If we cannot get the state to conform to the will of the people, then we have to vote in new state officials who will affect change from the top down. But, this means that we have to violate the Reformation view of law and adopt the Socialist view. How this contradiction can be solved is the unanswered question of the day.

Websites: <http://www.faithdefenders.com/> & <http://www.faithdefenders.com/blog/>